

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR APPLICATION NO. **FILING DATE** ATTORNEY DOCKET NO 09/359,359 07/23/99 **MATYJASZEWSKI** K 5344-0017-23 **EXAMINER** 022850 IM61/0112 OBLON SPIVAK MCCLELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT ARTUNEERDI, MAPER NUMBER FOURTH FLOOR 1755 JEFFERSON DAVIS HIGHWAY **ARLINGTON VA 22202** DATE MATED:

01/12/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Office Action Summary

Application No.

Applicant(s)

09/359,359

Krzysztof Matyjaszewski et al.

Examiner

Michael J. DiVerdi

Group Art Unit 1755



| 🔀 Responsive to communication(s) filed on _ <i>Jul 23, 1999</i> | |
|---|-------------------------------------|
| ☐ This action is FINAL . | |
| ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle35 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213. | |
| A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire | |
| Disposition of Claim | |
| | is/are pending in the applicat |
| Of the above, claim(s) | is/are withdrawn from consideration |
| Claim(s) | |
| Claim(s) | |
| ☐ Claim(s) | |
| | |
| Application Papers | |
| ☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, P | |
| ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to b | · |
| ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed oni | s 🗌 approved 🖂 disapproved. |
| ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. | |
| ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | |
| ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d). | |
| ☐ All ☐Some* None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority documents have been ☐ received. | |
| ☐ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number) | |
| ☐ received in Application No. (Genes Code/Serial Number) ☐ received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | |
| *Certified copies not received: | |
| ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e). | |
| Attachment(s) | |
| ☐ Notice of References Cited, PTO-892 | |
| ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s). | |
| ☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413 | |
| ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948 | |
| ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152 | |
| | |
| | |
| SEE OFFICE ACTION ON THE FOLLOWING PAGES | |

Application/Control Number: 09/359,359

Art Unit: 1755

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. In response to applicants' amendment dated November 1, 2000, the examiner noted that the Election/Restriction dated October 3, 2000, was not correct. Accordingly, a new Election/Restriction follows.

Election/Restriction

- 2. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 68-73 and 75-116, drawn to an initiator, classified in class 502, subclass 150.
 - II. Claim 74, drawn to a method of polymerization, classified in class 526,subclass 90+.
- 3. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons: Inventions I and II are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case, the claimed initiator could be used to initiate a non-ATRP polymerization only (note particularly claim 68 for this purpose).

Application/Control Number: 09/359,359 Page 3

Art Unit: 1755

4. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

5. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: a multiplicity of polymerization initiators of fundamentally different structure.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 68-116 are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to

Application/Control Number: 09/359,359 Page 4

Art Unit: 1755

be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

- 6. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(I).
- 7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael J. DiVerdi whose telephone number is (703) 305-0213. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 6:30 AM to 4:00 PM. The examiner can also be reached on alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mark Bell, can be reached on (703) 308-3823. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9310 for regular communications and (703) 872-9311 for After Final communications.

Art Unit: 1755

Any inquiry of general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

Mark L. Bell

Supervisory Patent Examiner Technology Center 1700

Michael I DiVardi

January 11, 2001